

# Non-Lifshitz Tails at the Spectral Bottom of Some Random Operators

Hatem Najar

Received: 3 July 2007 / Accepted: 12 November 2007 / Published online: 1 December 2007  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

**Abstract** In this paper we continue with the investigation of the behavior of the integrated density of states of random operators of the form  $H_\omega = -\nabla \rho_\omega \nabla$ . In the present work we are interested in its behavior at 0, the bottom of the spectrum of  $H_\omega$ . We prove that it converges exponentially fast to the integrated density of states of some periodic operator  $\overline{H}$ . Being periodic,  $\overline{H}$  cannot exhibit a Lifshitz behaviour. This result relates to the result of S.M. Kozlov (Russ. Math. Surv. 34(4):168–169, 1979) and improves it.

**Keywords** Spectral theory · Random operators · Integrated density of states · Lifshitz tails

## 1 Introduction

Let  $H_\omega$ , be the self adjoint operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  formally defined by:

$$H_\omega = H(\rho_\omega) = -\nabla \cdot \rho_\omega \cdot \nabla = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} \rho_\omega \partial_{x_i}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\rho_\omega$  is a positive and bounded function.  $H_\omega$  describes a vibrating membrane in random medium, see [1, 24] for the physical interpretations and the motivation of the study.

Let us start by defining the main object of our study: the integrated density of states. For this, we consider  $\Lambda$  a cube of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We note by  $H_{\omega,\Lambda}$  the restriction of  $H_\omega$  to  $\Lambda$  with self-adjoint boundary conditions. As  $H_\omega$  is elliptic, the resolvent of  $H_{\omega,\Lambda}$  is compact and, consequently, the spectrum of  $H_{\omega,\Lambda}$  is discrete and is made of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity [22]. We define

$$N_\Lambda(E) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Lambda)} \cdot \#\{\text{eigenvalues of } H_{\omega,\Lambda} \leq E\}. \quad (1.2)$$

---

Research partially supported by the Research Unity 01/UR/ 15-01 projects.

H. Najar (✉)

Département de Mathématiques, I.S.M.A.I. Kairouan, Bd Assad Ibn Elfourat, 3100 Kairouan, Tunisia  
e-mail: hatem.najar@ipeim.rnu.tn

Here  $\text{vol}(\Lambda)$  is the volume of  $\Lambda$  in the Lebesgue sense and  $\#A$  is the cardinal of  $A$ .

It is shown that the limit of  $N_\Lambda(E)$  when  $\Lambda$  tends to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  exists almost surely and is independent of the boundary conditions. It is called the *integrated density of states* of  $H_\omega$  (IDS as acronym) in what follows we denote it by  $\mathcal{N}$ . See [21].

The question we are interested in here concerns the behavior of  $\mathcal{N}$  at the bottom of the spectrum of  $H_\omega$ .

Let us give a brief history of this subject. In 1964, Lifshitz [14] argued that, for a Schrödinger operator of the form  $H_\omega = -\Delta + V_\omega$ , there exists  $c_1, c_2, \alpha > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(E)$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}(E) \simeq c_1 \exp(-c_2(E - E_0)^{-\alpha}), \quad E \rightarrow E_0. \tag{1.3}$$

Here  $E_0$  is the bottom of the spectrum of  $H_\omega$ . The behavior (1.3) is known as *Lifshitz tails* (for more details see part IV.9.A of [21]), and  $\alpha$  is the Lifshitz exponent. Usually such an exponent is of the form  $\frac{d}{2}$ , where  $d$  is the dimension. We notice that the Lifshitz behavior is among the properties expected for random operators.

Lifshitz predicted (1.3) also at fluctuating edges inside the spectrum. We refer to this asymptotics by “*internal Lifshitz tails*”.

The principal known results on Lifshitz tails are mainly shown for Schrödinger operators (for continuous and discrete cases). See [6–8, 10, 13, 21, 23] where some of them use the Donsker and Varadhan technic [4, 5].

Lifshitz tails for an operator of type (1.1), was the subject of previous works [15–18], where we get the behavior of  $\mathcal{N}$  at the internal band edges of the spectrum of (1.1). It was a Lifshitz behavior ( $\mathcal{N}$  decreases exponentially fast at the internal edges).

For classical random Schrödinger operator it is known that the bottom of the spectrum is a fluctuating edge [6, 19]. In the present situation, for the spectrum minimum, it should be noted that 0 is not a fluctuating edge of the spectrum [24]. It belongs to the spectrum of  $H_\omega$  independently of the choice of the random variables in  $\rho_\omega(x)$ . As  $\rho_\omega$  is bounded, using a variational formula and a Weyl type asymptotics one gets that there exists  $C > 1$  such that

$$\frac{E^{d/2}}{C} \leq \mathcal{N}(E) \leq CE^{d/2}.$$

This yields that  $\mathcal{N}$  can not decrease faster than  $E^{\frac{d}{2}}$  at 0.

In [20] elliptic operator in the divergence form on a random strip is considered, and it is proved that the integrated density of states of the relevant operator exhibits the Lifshitz behavior at the bottom of the spectrum. We notice that in [20] Lifshitz tails at the bottom of the spectrum is a consequence of the geometry of the domain.

For  $d = 2$ , under some assumption on  $\rho_\omega$ , and using the Laplace transformation of  $\mathcal{N}$ , the fundamental solution of the heat equation as well as Tauberian Theorem, Kozlov [12] gets the behavior of  $\mathcal{N}$  with an error order of  $E^{d/2}$ .

In the present work using periodic approximations and probabilistic arguments we compare the behavior of the IDS of  $H_\omega$  to that of some periodic operator  $\overline{H}$  with an exponential precision.

### 1.1 The Model

Consider the operator

$$H_\omega = -\nabla \rho_\omega \nabla, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\rho_\omega$  is a bounded,  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -ergodic random field such that there exists some constant  $\rho_* > 1$ , satisfying

$$\rho_*^{-1} \leq \rho_\omega \leq \rho_* \tag{1.5}$$

We assume that  $\rho_\omega$  is of Anderson type i.e. it has the form

$$\rho_\omega(x) = \rho^+(x) + \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_\gamma \rho^0(x - \gamma), \tag{1.6}$$

where

- $\rho^+$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic measurable function,
- $\rho^0$  is a compactly supported measurable function,
- $(\omega_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  are non trivial, i.i.d. non degenerate random variables.

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\rho_\omega)$  be the quadratic form defined as follow: for  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}(\rho_\omega))$

$$\mathcal{H}(\rho_\omega)[u, u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\omega(x) \nabla u(x) \overline{\nabla u(x)} dx.$$

$\mathcal{H}(\rho_\omega)$  is a symmetrical, closed and positive quadratic form.  $H_\omega$  given by (1.1) is defined to be the Friedrichs extension of  $\mathcal{H}(\rho_\omega)$  [22].

The choice of our model ensures that  $H_\omega$  is a measurable family of self-adjoint operators and ergodic [6, 21]. Indeed, if  $\tau_\gamma$  refers to the translation by  $\gamma$ , then  $(\tau_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  is a group of unitary operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  we have

$$\tau_\gamma H_\omega \tau_{-\gamma} = H_{\tau_\gamma \omega}.$$

According to [6, 21], we know that there exists  $\Sigma, \Sigma_{pp}, \Sigma_{ac}$  and  $\Sigma_{sc}$  closed and non-random sets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Sigma$  is the spectrum of  $H_\omega$  with probability one and such that if  $\sigma_{pp}$  (respectively  $\sigma_{ac}$  and  $\sigma_{sc}$ ) design the pure point spectrum (respectively the absolutely continuous and singular continuous spectrum) of  $H_\omega$ , then  $\Sigma_{pp} = \sigma_{pp}, \Sigma_{ac} = \sigma_{ac}$  and  $\Sigma_{sc} = \sigma_{sc}$  with probability one. It should be noted that the bottom of the spectrum of  $H_\omega$  is 0, and this is independent of the choice of  $\rho_\omega(x)$ .

### 1.2 The Result

We shall prove

**Theorem 1.1** *There exists  $\tau > 0, 0 < \alpha \leq d/(4(d + 1))$  and  $C > 1$  such that when  $E \rightarrow 0^+$  we have,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(0) - C e^{-E^{-\tau}} \leq \mathcal{N}(E) \leq \overline{\mathcal{N}}(E + E^\alpha) + C e^{-E^{-\tau}}, \tag{1.7}$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$  is the integrated density of states of the following periodic operator

$$\overline{H} = -\nabla \overline{\rho} \nabla; \tag{1.8}$$

and  $\overline{\rho} = \mathbb{E}(\rho_\omega)$ .

*Remark 1.2* (1) Notice that the improvement over Kozlov’s result essentially consists in the estimate of the remainder term and the exponential precision and the dimension  $d$  can be different of 2 in the present study.

(2) We do not believe this estimate to be optimal: namely, we expect the exponent  $\alpha$  to be larger than the one we found in the present study.

## 2 The Periodic Approximations

Pick  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and define the following periodic operator

$$H_\omega^n = -\nabla \rho_\omega^n \nabla,$$

where,

$$\rho_\omega^n = \rho^+ + \rho_\omega^{0,n} = \rho^+(x) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_\gamma \sum_{\beta \in (2n+1)\mathbb{Z}^d} \rho^0(x - \gamma + \beta),$$

and

$$\Lambda_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \forall 1 \leq j \leq d, -\frac{2n+1}{2} < x_j \leq \frac{2n+1}{2} \right\}.$$

For  $\omega$  fixed and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $H_\omega^n$  is a  $(2n + 1)\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic self-adjoint Schrödinger operator.

Let  $\bar{\omega} = \mathbb{E}(\omega_0)$ . We set

$$\bar{\rho}^n = \rho^+ + \bar{\rho}^{0,n} = \rho^+ + \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \bar{\omega} \sum_{\beta \in (2n+1)\mathbb{Z}^d} \rho^0(x - \gamma + \beta),$$

and

$$\bar{H}^n = -\nabla \bar{\rho}^n \nabla.$$

### 2.1 Some Floquet Theory

Now we review some standard facts from the Floquet theory for periodic operators. Basic references of this material are in [22].

Let the torus  $\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^* = \mathbb{R}^d / \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{(2n+1)} \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}$ . We define  $\mathcal{H}_n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_n &= \{u(x, \theta) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*); \forall (x, \theta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^* \times (2n + 1)\mathbb{Z}^d; \\ &u(x + \gamma, \theta) = e^{i\gamma\theta} u(x, \theta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists  $U$  a unitary isometry from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $\mathcal{H}_n$  such that  $H_\omega^n$  admits the following Floquet decomposition [22]:

$$U H_\omega^n U^* = \int_{\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*}^\oplus H_\omega^n(\theta) d\theta.$$

Here  $H_\omega^n(\theta)$  is the self adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}$  defined as the operator  $H_\omega^n$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1$  with

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,\theta} = \{u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d); \forall \gamma \in (2n + 1)\mathbb{Z}^d, u(x + \gamma) = e^{i\gamma\theta} u(x)\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1 = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}; \partial_x^\alpha u \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}; |\alpha| = 1\}.$$

We define  $H^n(\theta)$  by the same meaning.

As  $H_\omega^n$  is elliptic, we know that,  $H_\omega^n(\theta)$  has a compact resolvent; hence its spectrum is discrete [22]. We denote its eigenvalues, called Floquet eigenvalues of  $H_\omega^n(\theta)$ , by

$$E_0(n, \omega, \theta) \leq E_1(n, \omega, \theta) \leq \dots \leq E_k(n, \omega, \theta) \leq \dots$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are denoted by  $(w(x, \cdot))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The functions  $(\theta \rightarrow E_k(n, \omega, \theta))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are Lifshitz-continuous, and we have

$$E_k(n, \omega, \theta) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty \text{ uniformly in } \theta.$$

The spectrum  $\sigma(H_\omega^n)$  of  $H_\omega^n$  has a band structure, i.e.

$$\sigma(H_\omega^n) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k(n, \omega, \mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*).$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}_\omega^n$  be the integrated density of states of  $H_\omega^n$ ; it satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*; E_k(n, \omega, \theta) \leq E\}} d\theta = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*} \mathcal{V}(H_\omega^n(\theta), E) d\theta. \tag{2.9}$$

Here  $\mathcal{V}(B, E)$  is the number of eigenvalues of  $B$  less or equal to  $E$ . Let  $d\mathcal{N}_\omega^n$  be the derivative of  $\mathcal{N}_\omega^n$  in the distribution sense. As  $\mathcal{N}_\omega^n$  is increasing,  $d\mathcal{N}_\omega^n$  is a positive measure; it is the density of states of  $H_\omega^n$ . We denote by  $d\mathcal{N}$  the density of states of  $H_\omega$ . For all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $d\mathcal{N}_\omega^n$  verifies [10],

$$\langle \varphi, d\mathcal{N}_\omega^n \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}}(\varphi(H_\omega^n(\theta))) d\theta = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Lambda_k)} \text{tr}(\chi_{\Lambda_k} \varphi(H_\omega^n) \chi_{\Lambda_k}), \tag{2.10}$$

where for  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\chi_\Lambda$  will design the characteristic function of  $\Lambda$  and  $\text{tr}(A)$  is the trace of  $A$  (we index by  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}$  if the trace is taken in  $\mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}$ ). Now, we state a result proven in [16].

**Lemma 2.1** [16] *For any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\langle \varphi, d\mathcal{N}_\omega^n \rangle) = \langle \varphi, d\mathcal{N} \rangle.$$

Moreover, we have that the IDS of  $H_\omega$  is exponentially well-approximated by the expectation of the IDS of the periodic operators  $H_\omega^n$  when  $n$  is polynomial in  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ . More precisely we have

**Theorem 2.2** [17] *Pick  $\eta > 0$  and  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a compact interval. There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that, for  $E \in I$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  and  $n \geq \varepsilon^{-\rho}$ , one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E + \varepsilon/2)) - \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E - \varepsilon/2)) - e^{-\varepsilon^{-\eta}} \\ & \leq \mathcal{N}(E + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{N}(E - \varepsilon) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E + 2\varepsilon)) - \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E - 2\varepsilon)) + e^{-\varepsilon^{-\eta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

*Remark 2.3* This lemma is proven in [17] (Lemma 3.3) for acoustic operators and in [11] for the Schrödinger case. The proof is based on the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula and the resolvent equation with the exponential decay of the resolvent kernels (the Combes-Thomas argument) and the properties of Gevrey class functions.

### 2.2 The Study of the IDS of the Periodic Approximations

As it is mentioned in this section we will study the IDS of the periodic approximations and precisely we will prove an analogous theorem to Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.4** *There exists  $\alpha > 0$  and a set  $\Omega_{n,E,\alpha}$  such that we have,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}^n(E - E^\alpha) - C\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{n,E,\alpha}) \leq \mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E) \leq \overline{\mathcal{N}}^n(E + E^\alpha) + C\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{n,E,\alpha}), \tag{2.12}$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^n$  is the IDS of  $\overline{H}^n$ .

For a vector space  $\mathcal{E}$ , we note by  $\dim(\mathcal{E})$  the dimension of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(H_\omega^n(\theta), E) &= \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1, \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\} \\ &= \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1, \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \\ &\quad \langle (H_\omega^n(\theta) - \overline{H}^n(\theta))u, u \rangle + \langle \overline{H}^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$ . We set

$$\mathcal{E}_1^\alpha(\theta) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1; |\langle (H_\omega^n(\theta) - \overline{H}^n(\theta))u, u \rangle| \leq E^\alpha\|u\|^2\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1; |\langle (H_\omega^n(\theta) - \overline{H}^n(\theta))u, u \rangle| \geq E^\alpha\|u\|^2\}.$$

Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(H_\omega^n(\theta), E) &\leq \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_1^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\} \\ &\quad + \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_1^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle \overline{H}^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq (E + E^\alpha)\|u\|^2\} \\ &\quad + \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(H_\omega^n(\theta), E) &\leq \mathcal{V}(\overline{H}^n(\theta), (E + E^\alpha)) \\ &\quad + \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Now integrating both sides of (2.13) over  $\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*$  and taking into account (2.9), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E) \leq \overline{\mathcal{N}}^n((E + E^\alpha)) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*} \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that,} \\ \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\} d\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^n$  is the IDS of  $\overline{H}^n$ .

As,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\} \\ & \leq \dim\{u; \forall u \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Using (1.5), we get that the right side of (2.15) is bounded by the number of eigenvalues of  $-\Delta^n(\theta)$  less than  $E\rho_*$  which is itself bounded by  $Cn^d$  ( $C$  depends only on  $E$ ). As the volume of  $\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*$  is  $(\frac{2\pi}{(2n+1)})^d$  we get that for some  $C > 0$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E)) \leq \overline{\mathcal{N}}^n(E + E^\alpha) + C\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{n,E,\alpha}), \tag{2.16}$$

with

$$\Omega_{n,E,\alpha} = \{\omega; \exists \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d; \exists u \in \mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta); \langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \leq E\rho_*\|u\|^2\}.$$

Now let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V}(\overline{H}^n(\theta), (E - E^\alpha)) \\ & = \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1, \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle \overline{H}^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq (E - E^\alpha)\|u\|^2\} \\ & = \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1, \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \\ & \quad \langle (\overline{H}^n(\theta) - H_\omega^n(\theta))u, u \rangle + \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq (E - E^\alpha)\|u\|^2\} \\ & \leq \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_1^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle H_\omega^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq E\|u\|^2\} \\ & \quad + \sup\{\dim \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_2^\alpha(\theta), \text{ such that, } \forall u \in \mathcal{E}; \langle \overline{H}^n(\theta)u, u \rangle \leq (E - E^\alpha)\|u\|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same computation carried out from (2.13–2.16), we get that

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}^n(E - E^\alpha) - C\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{n,E,\alpha}) \leq \mathcal{N}_\omega^n(E). \tag{2.17}$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.4. □

### 3 The Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1 it suffice to take into account the results of Theorems 2.4 and 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 and estimate  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{n,E,\alpha})$ . It is the purpose of the following lemma. It is a large deviation argument.

**Lemma 3.1** *There exists  $\tau > 0$  such that for  $E$  sufficiently small and  $n$  large, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{n,E,\alpha}) \leq e^{-E^{-\tau}}.$$

Now the proof of Theorem 1.1 is just to take into account Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.1

*Proof of Lemma 3.1* We prove this Lemma using techniques of [9]. We have  $\Omega_{n,E,\alpha} \subset \Omega'_{n,E,\alpha}$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega'_{n,E,\alpha} & = \{\omega; \exists \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d; \exists u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d); \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1; \|\nabla u\|^2 \leq E\rho_*; \\ & \text{and } |\langle (H_\omega^n(\theta) - \overline{H}^n(\theta))u, u \rangle| \geq E^\alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the probability of the latest events. Notice that we asked that

$$|\langle (H_\omega^n(\theta) - \overline{H}^n(\theta))u, u \rangle| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \langle (\rho_\omega^{0,n}(\theta) - \overline{\rho}^{0,n}(\theta))\partial_{x_i} u, \partial_{x_i} u \rangle \right| \geq E^\alpha \|u\|^2. \tag{3.18}$$

Let  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $u$  can be written using the Floquet decomposition of  $-\Delta$  as:

$$u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*} \chi_k(\theta) w_k(x, \theta) d\theta,$$

where  $(w(\cdot, \theta)_k)_{k \geq 0}$  are the Floquet eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta_n^\theta$  associated to  $(E_k(\theta))_{k \geq 0}$ .

By this, for  $u$  such that  $\langle -\Delta u, u \rangle \leq E\rho_*$  we have:

$$\left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*} |E_k(\theta)|^2 |\chi_k(\theta)|^2 d\theta \right) \leq CE^2. \tag{3.19}$$

0 is the bottom of the spectrum of  $-\Delta$ . It is a simple non-degenerate Floquet eigenvalue [22]. Hence there exists  $C > 0$  such that

- For  $k \neq 0, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*$

$$|E_k(\theta)| \geq 1/C, \tag{3.20}$$

- And  $\exists Z = \{\theta_j \in \mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*; 1 \leq j \leq n_0\}$  such that  $E_0(\theta_j) = 0$ .

$$|E_0(\theta)| \geq 1/C \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n_0} |\theta - \theta_j|^2. \tag{3.21}$$

Let  $(2l + 1) = [E^{-1/2+2\rho'}]_\circ \cdot [E^{-\rho'}]_\circ$  and  $(2k + 1) = [E^{-\eta}]_\circ$ , where  $\alpha < \rho' < \frac{d}{4(d+1)}$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that  $(2l + 1) \cdot (2k + 1) = 2n + 1$ . Here  $[\cdot]_\circ$  denotes the largest odd integer smaller than  $\cdot$ .

From (3.19–3.21) we get that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}_{2n+1}^*} |\chi_k(\theta)|^2 d\theta + \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \int_{|\theta - \theta_j| > \frac{1}{l}} |\chi_0(\theta)|^2 d\theta \leq CE^2 l^2 \leq CE^{2\rho'}. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence we write

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} u_j + u^e, \quad \text{where } u_j = \int_{|\theta - \theta_j| \leq \frac{1}{l}} \chi_0(\theta) w_0(\cdot, \theta) d\theta.$$

So, we have

$$\|u^e\|^2 \leq CE^{2\rho'}, \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \|u_j\|^2 = \|u\|^2 - CE^{2\rho'} = 1 - CE^{2\rho'}.$$



We notice that using the fact that  $w(\cdot, \theta) \in \mathcal{H}_{n,\theta}^1$ , and (3.23) is based on the choice of  $l$ , the localisation length in the quasimomentum  $\theta$ , we get that

$$\|\nabla u^e\|^2 \leq CE^{2\rho'}. \tag{3.24}$$

Now using (3.24) in (3.18), we get that for  $E$  small we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq j, j' \leq n_0} |\langle (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}}) \nabla u_j, \nabla u_{j'} \rangle| \geq E^\alpha / 4. \tag{3.25}$$

So, for some  $1 \leq j, j' \leq n_0$ , one has

$$|\langle (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}}) \nabla u_j, \nabla u_{j'} \rangle| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \langle (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}}) \partial_{x_i} u_j, \partial_{x_i} u_{j'} \rangle \right| \geq E^\alpha / (2n_0)^2. \tag{3.26}$$

Now we state a lemma based on the Uncertainly principle and proved in [9] (see Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 5.1 in [9]).

**Lemma 3.2** [9] *Fix  $1 \leq j \leq n_0$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . For  $1 \leq l' \leq l$  there exists  $\tilde{u}_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that*

(1)  $\tilde{u}_j$  is constant on each cube

$$\Lambda_{\gamma,l'} = \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_d); \forall 1 \leq i \leq d, -l' - \frac{1}{2} \leq x_i - (2l' + 1)\gamma_i < l' + \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

where  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

(2)  $\exists C > 0$  depending only on  $w_0(\cdot, \theta)$  such that

$$\|\partial_{x_i} u_j - \tilde{u}_j \cdot \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(\cdot, \theta_j)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq Cl'/l, \tag{3.27}$$

where  $\overline{w_0}(\cdot, \theta)$  is the periodic component of  $w_0(\cdot, \theta)$  i.e.  $w_0(\cdot, \theta) = e^{ix\theta} \overline{w_0}(\cdot, \theta)$ .

Let

$$\psi_j^i(x) = \tilde{u}_j(x) \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j) = \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j) \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (2l' + 1)^{-d/2} a_j(\beta) \mathbf{1}_{(2l'+1)\beta + \Lambda_{0,l'}}.$$

So, for any  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n_0$ ,  $\exists C > 0$  depending only on  $w_0(\cdot, \theta)$  such that

$$\|\partial_{x_i} u_j - \psi_j^i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq Cl'/l. \tag{3.28}$$

We notice that  $\psi_j^i(x) = \tilde{u}_j(x) \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Using the periodicity of  $\overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j)$  we get,

$$\|\psi_j^i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \|\tilde{u}_j(x) \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |a_j(\beta)|^2 \int_{\Lambda_{0,0}} |\partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j)|^2 dx. \tag{3.29}$$

Then using (3.28) and the fact that  $\int_{\Lambda_{0,0}} |\partial_{x_i} w_0(x, \theta_j)|^2 dx = \int_{\Lambda_{0,0}} |\partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j)|^2 dx$ ; we get that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |a_j(\beta)|^2 \leq C \|\partial_{x_i} u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} < +\infty. \tag{3.30}$$

We set  $2l' + 1 = [E^{-1/2+2\rho'}]_o$  and  $2k' + 1 = [E^{-\rho'}]_o \cdot [E^{-\eta}]_o$ , for  $\alpha < \rho' < \frac{d}{4(d+1)}$  and  $\eta > 0$  so that  $(2n + 1) = (2l' + 1) \cdot (2k' + 1)$ . So taking into account (3.26, 3.28) and the choice of  $l$  and  $l'$ , we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^d | \langle (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}}) \psi_j^i, \psi_{j'}^i \rangle | \geq E^\alpha / (2n_0)^2 - CE^{\rho'} \geq E^\alpha / (4n_0)^2. \tag{3.31}$$

We set

$$\sum_{i=1}^d | \langle (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}}) \psi_j^i, \psi_{j'}^i \rangle | = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} |A_{j,j'}^i|,$$

with

$$A_{j,j'}^i = \langle (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}}) \psi_j^i, \psi_{j'}^i \rangle.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j,j'}^i &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}^d} (2l' + 1)^{-d} a_j(\beta) \cdot \overline{a_{j'}(\beta)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{(2l'+1)\beta + \Lambda_{0,l'}} (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}})(x - \gamma) \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j) \cdot \overline{\partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_{j'})} dx \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}^d} a_j(\beta) \cdot \overline{a_{j'}(\beta)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(2l' + 1)^d} \int_{\Lambda_{0,l'}} (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}})(x - \gamma + (2l' + 1)\beta) \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j) \\ &\quad \times \overline{\partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_{j'})} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

As  $\rho_\omega^n$  is  $(2n + 1)\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic and  $(2l' + 1)(2k' + 1) = (2n + 1)$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j,j'}^i &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k'+1}^d} \sum_{\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}^d} a_j(\beta + (2k' + 1)\beta') \cdot \overline{a_{j'}(\beta + (2k' + 1)\beta')} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(2l' + 1)^d} \int_{\Lambda_{0,l'}} (\rho_\omega^{0,n} - \overline{\rho^{0,n}})(x - \gamma + (2l' + 1)\beta) \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j) \\ &\quad \times \overline{\partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_{j'})} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Using the expression of  $\rho_\omega$  we get that.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j,j'}^i &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k'+1}^d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}^d} \sum_{\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (\omega_\gamma - \overline{\omega}) a_j(\beta + (2k' + 1)\beta') \overline{a_{j'}(\beta + (2k' + 1)\beta')} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(2l' + 1)^d} \int_{\Lambda_{0,l'}} \rho^0(x - \gamma + (2l' + 1)\beta) \partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_j) \overline{\partial_{x_i} \overline{w_0}(x, \theta_{j'})} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

We set

$$B_{j,j'}^i(\beta) = \sum_{\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_j(\beta + (2k' + 1)\beta') \cdot \overline{a_{j'}(\beta + (2k' + 1)\beta')}. \tag{3.35}$$

Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^i_{j,j'} &= (2l' + 1)^{-d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}^d} (\omega_\gamma - \bar{\omega}) \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k'+1}^d} B^i_{j,j'}(\beta) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \int_{\Lambda_{0,l'}} \rho^0(x - \gamma + (2l' + 1)\beta) \partial_{x_i} \bar{w}_0(x, \theta_j) \cdot \overline{\partial_{x_i} \bar{w}_0(x, \theta_{j'})} dx \right) \\
 &= (2l' + 1)^{-d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2l'+1}^d} \left[ \sum_{\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k'+1}^d} (\omega_{\gamma+(2l'+1)\gamma'} - \bar{\omega}) \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k'+1}^d} B^i_{j,j'}(\beta) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times \int_{\Lambda_{0,l'}} \rho^0(x - \gamma + (2l' + 1)(\beta - \gamma')) \partial_{x_i} \bar{w}_0(x, \theta_j) \cdot \overline{\partial_{x_i} \bar{w}_0(x, \theta_{j'})} dx \right) \right]. \tag{3.36}
 \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C^i_{j,j'}(\gamma, \gamma') \\
 &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k'+1}^d} B^i_{j,j'}(\beta) \int_{\Lambda_{0,l'}} \rho^0(x - \gamma + (2l' + 1)(\beta - \gamma')) \partial_{x_i} \bar{w}_0(x, \theta_j) \overline{\partial_{x_i} \bar{w}_0(x, \theta_{j'})} dx
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y^i_{j,j'}(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k'+1}^d} (\omega_{\gamma+(2l'+1)\gamma'} - \bar{\omega}) C^i_{j,j'}(\gamma, \gamma').$$

Then

$$A^i_{j,j'} = \frac{1}{(2l' + 1)^d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2l'+1}^d} Y^i_{j,j'}(\gamma). \tag{3.37}$$

Notice that  $(Y^i_{j,j'}(\gamma))_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2l'+1}^d}$  are bounded random and independent variables with  $\mathbb{E}(Y^i_{j,j'}(\gamma)) = 0$ . Indeed, using the fact that  $\rho^0$  is compactly supported and (3.30) we get that  $|Y^i_{j,j'}(\gamma)|$  is bounded. So, to estimate the probability of  $\Omega(n, E, \alpha)$  it suffices to estimate the probability that

$$\frac{1}{(2l' + 1)^d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2l'+1}^d} Y^i_{j,j'}(\gamma) \geq E^\alpha / (4n_0)^2. \tag{3.38}$$

This probability is given by the large deviation principle which gives that [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}\left(E^\alpha / (4n_0)^2 \leq \frac{1}{(2l' + 1)^d} \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2l'+1}^d} Y^i_{j,j'}(\gamma)\right) &\leq \exp(-c(l')^d E^{2\alpha}) \\
 &\leq \exp(-cE^{-d/2+2d\rho'+2\alpha}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the expression of  $l'$ . Using the fact that for our choice of  $\rho'$  we have  $-d/2 + 2d\rho' + 2\alpha < 0$ , so for some  $\tau > 0$  and  $E$  sufficiently small, one has

$$\mathbb{P}\left(E^{\eta'} \leq \frac{1}{(2l' + 1)^d} \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2l'+1}^d} Y_{j,j'}^i(\gamma)\right) \leq \exp(-E^{-\tau}).$$

As the probability of  $\Omega(n, E, \alpha)$  is bounded by the sum over  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and  $1 \leq j, j' \leq n_0$  of the probability estimate previously, we get the result of the Lemma 3.1 and consequently the proof of Theorem 1.1 is finished. Indeed, for  $E$  small enough we have  $E - E^\alpha < 0$  and  $\overline{H}$  has no spectrum below zero.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** It is a pleasure to thank Frédéric Klopp for interesting discussion concerning this work and Mounir Ben Salah, the organizer of the spectral theory and EDP conference at Kairouan, for the financial support.

## References

1. Figotin, A., Klein, A.: Localization of classical waves I: acoustic waves. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **180**, 439–482 (1996)
2. Dembo, A., Zeitouni, O.: Large Deviation Techniques and Applications. Jones and Bartlett, Boston (1993)
3. Deuschel, J.-M., Stroock, D.: Large Deviations. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 137. Academic, San Diego (1989)
4. Donsker, D., Varadhan, S.R.S.: Asymptotics for Wiener sausage. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**, 525–565 (1975)
5. Donsker, D., Varadhan, S.R.S.: Asymptotic evolution of certain Markov process expectations for large time. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**, 279–301
6. Kirsch, W.: Random Schrödinger operators. In: *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 345, pp. 264–370. Springer, Berlin (1989)
7. Kirsch, W., Martinelli, F.: Large deviations and Lifshitz singularity of the integrated density of states of random Hamiltonians. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **89**, 27–40 (1983)
8. Kirsch, W., Nitzschner, F.: Lifshitz-tails and non-Lifshitz-tails for one-dimensional random point interactions. In: *Order, Disorder and Chaos in Quantum Systems. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, vol. 46, pp. 171–178. Birkhauser, Basel (1990)
9. Klopp, F.: Weak disorder localization and Lifshitz tails: continuous Hamiltonians. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **3**, 711–737 (2002)
10. Klopp, F.: Internal Lifshitz tails for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *Duke Math. J.* **98**(2), 335–396 (1999)
11. Klopp, F.: Internal Lifshitz tails for Schrödinger operators with random potentials. *J. Math. Phys.* **43**(6) (1999)
12. Kozlov, S.M.: Conductivity of two-dimensional random media. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **34**(4), 168–169 (1979)
13. Nakao, S.: On the spectral distribution of the Schrödinger operator with random potential. *Jpn. J. Math.* **3**, 111–139 (1977)
14. Lifshitz, I.: Structure of the energy spectrum of impurity bands in disordered solid solutions. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **17**, 1159–1170 (1963)
15. Najjar, H.: Asymptotique de la densité d'états intégrée des opérateurs acoustiques aléatoires. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **333**(I), 191–194 (2001)
16. Najjar, H.: Lifshitz tails for random acoustic operators. *J. Math. Phys.* **44**(4), 1842–1867 (2003)
17. Najjar, H.: Asymptotic behavior of the integrated density of states of acoustic operators with random long range perturbations. *J. Stat. Phys.* **115**(4), 977–996 (2004)
18. Najjar, H.: 2-dimensional localization of acoustic waves in random perturbation of periodic media. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **322**(1), 1–17 (2006)
19. Najjar, H.: The spectrum minimum for random Schrödinger operators with indefinite sign potentials. *J. Math. Phys.* **47**(1) (2006)

20. Najar, H.: Lifshitz tails for acoustic waves in random quantum waveguide. *J. Stat. Phys.* **128**(4), 1093–1112 (2007)
21. Pastur, L., Figotin, A.: *Spectra of Random and Almost-Periodic Operators*. Springer, Berlin (1992)
22. Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. Analysis of Operators*, vol. IV. Academic Press, New York (1978)
23. Simon, B.: Lifshitz tails for the Anderson model. *J. Stat. Phys.* **38**, 65–76 (1985)
24. Stollmann, P.: *Caught by Disorder Bounded States in Random Media*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2001)